

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,170	06/20/2003	Andy Peichl	7781.0083-00	7610	
22852 FINNEGAN 1	7590 06/11/200 HENDERSON, FARAE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			WONG, ERIC TAK WAI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	71, DC 20001 1115		3693		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,170	PEICHL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC T. WONG	3693	

	ERIC T. WONG	3693						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. \(\times\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) M The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to 			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		lucina or cimplifuina t	on incurse for					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying t	ie issues ioi					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: New claim 35 introduces the limitation "the								
user by sending a message to the user." The limit 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an e	xpianation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	laca below of appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will no	be entered					
 because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).								
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. \(\overline{\text{Z}}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\frac{\text{see continuation sheet.}}\)								
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
/James A. Kramer/	/ERIC T. WONG/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693	Examiner, Art Unit 3693							

Applicant argues that SCS does not teach or suggest all elements of claim 1. In particular, Applicant argues that SCS does not teach a commitment engine which:

- (a) monitors changes in a projected human resource budget
- (b) provides an automatic advance notification to a user if the projected human resource budget exceeds a reserved fund

Regarding limitation (a), the claim merely recites that changes to the projected human resource budget are monitored. The SCS system automatically updates reserve balances when changes to salary encumbrances are submitted. Therefore, in that sense, changes to the projected human resource budget are monitored.

Regarding limitation (b), the automatically updated reserve balances are an automatic advance notification to a user if the projected human resource budget exceeds a reserved fund. As stated in the prior Office action, "salary encumbrances are projected human resource budgets. The reserve in SCS is adjusted in accordance with the salary encumbrances and individual balances may operate in the negative. Therefore, the indication of a negative balance is an advance notification that a projected human resource budget will exceed a fund reserved for a given human resource object (see pg. 4 of Final Office Action).

The Examiner's definition of a notification may be broader than that of the Applicant. A notification may be reasonably interpreted as "something by which notice is given" (via Google define: notification). Utilizing this definition, the negative reserve belainter of SCS may be interpreted as a notification because it is the means by which a user is given notice that a projected budget will exceed the funds reserved.